

George Jarvis (J).. Austin, esq. (TBA)  
Austin v. Tesla, et. al. Case #3:20-cv-00800  
240 E. Channel St. #1354 Stockton, CA 95202, or alternatively  
2107 Montauban Ct., Stockton, CA 95210

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE J. AUSTIN, Plaintiff,

NOTICE OF IFP STATUS PREVIOUSLY  
GRANTED

v.

Tesla., et al., Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00800

George Jarvis (J).. Austin, esq. (TBA)  
Austin v. Tesla, et. al. Case #3:20-cv-00800  
240 E. Channel St. #1354 Stockton, CA 95202, or alternatively  
2107 Montauban Ct., Stockton, CA 95210

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a), page 9 of *United States of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Office of the Clerk "After Opening Your Appeal:What You Need to Know,"* and the District Court's Previous Order, and Grant, of In Forma Pauperis Status for Plaintiff, and the fact that no one has revoked that status it appears that the filing, and subsequent fees qualify to be waived. Plaintiff Pro Se, and Attorney of Record, George Jarvis Austin, in the above named case, (Austin v. Tesla, et. al. 3:20-cv-00800) hereby requests the Court to clarify:

- a. That in fact the Court has waived such fees?
- b. If any amount is due the best method of electronic payment?

(s) \_\_George Jarvis (or J. for short) Austin, Esq. (TBA)\_\_\_\_

Attorney of Record for \_\_Plaintiff (Pro Se)\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_240 E. Channel St. #1354, 95202,\_\_\_\_